Application Number	Re		Applicant(s)/Patent (Reexamination BURWELL ET AL.	under				
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Do	ocument – DC	cument – DO NOT MAIL				
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	⊠ APPROV	☑ APPROVED		☐ DISAPPROVED				
Date Filed : August 30, 2007	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer							
Approved/Disapproved by:								
Henry D. Jefferson								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

BOAKYE, ALEXANDER AT Unit 2616 From Logan, Rugenia RARUTA This Memo To: Case DEF-2D68 SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: NSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate orm paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. THUST NOT BE C1) MAILED TO APPLICANT ON (2) PLACEO OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is completed in the part of the results and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee of	Date:			11-Sep-07	APPL. S. N:	10643983				
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: NSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate for paragraphs identifiled by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree, or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. T MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is completed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37.278.3.73(b) and 1140.0.6.72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the r	fo Exami	iner:		BOAKYE, ALEXANDER	Art Unit	2616				
NSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate or paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORNAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. T MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is completelesse initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 0.6. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 1	rom .					JEF-2D68				
orm paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. T MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is completed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termin portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is record in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the real and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the sp	SUBJECT	r: Decisio	n on Terminal	Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:						
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 0.6. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).	form para or have a	agraphs i any quest	dentified by th ions, please se	is informal memo in your r se me or the Special Progra	next Office action to notify appl am Examiner. THIS IS AN INFO	icant of the T.D. If you disagree RMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.				
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D fee of	olease in	itial, date	and return th	is memo to me. THANK YO	U.					
The TD fee of	V	The T.D.	is PROPER an	d has been recorded (see 1	14.23).					
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		The T.D.	is NOT PROPE	R and has not been accept	ed for the reason(s) checked b	elow (see 14.24):				
hls/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).										
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.6. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.25, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature)							
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).							
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		Γ.	The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).							
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			The person w	ho signed the T.D.:						
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			is no	ot an attorney "of record" ((see 14.29 and 14.29.01).					
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			has	failed to state his/her capa	acity to sign for the business er	tity (see 14.28).				
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			is no	ot recognized as an officer	of the assignee (see 14.29 & p	assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).				
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			nor is the ree (see 37 CFR 3	I and frame number specifi 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72)	ied as to where such evidence i . NOTE: This documentary evid	s recorded in the Office ence or the specifying of the reel and				
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		Γ	The T.D. is no	ot signed (see 14.26 & 14.2	26.03).					
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. Thave appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.		<u></u>								
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. Thave appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).							
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. Thave appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).							
and do not check this item. I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			Other:							
Ex.Initials: Log Date:	have ap	propriate	ely notified app	olicant(s) of the status of the	ne Terminal Disclaimer filed in	this case.				
	Ex.Initial	s:	Date	e:		Log Date:				

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A DOUBLE PATENTING Docket Number (Optional) **REJECTION OVER A "PRIOR" PATENT** In re Application of: Wayne Burwell et al Application No.: 10/643,983 Filed: 08/20/2003 For: DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM _, of The owner*, Alcatel Canada, Inc. percent interest in the instant application hereby disclaims, 100 except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term prior patent No. 6,650,646 as the term of said prior patent is defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 and 173, and as the term of said prior patent is presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the prior patent are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns. In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of the term of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 and 173 of the prior patent, "as the term of said prior patent is presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer," in the event that said prior patent later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee; is held unenforceable; is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction; is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321; has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate; is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer. Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate. For submissions on behalf of a business/organization (e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.), the undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the business/organization. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. 2. The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record. Reg. No. 34519 08/30/2007 /rjmitchell34519/ Signature Date Richard J. Mitchell Typed or printed name 613 236-9561 Telephone Number Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) included. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.321. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

*Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner).

Form PTO/SB/96 may be used for making this certification. See MPEP § 324.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- 1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- 2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
- 4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- 5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- 6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.